



Research feasibility / proposed pilot

One question. One resource class. One reviewed answer.

The proposed engagement

Co-design one institutional research-feasibility workflow: one question, one resource class, one bounded task and one reviewed answer. Begin with manufactured data. Pricing, scope, schedule and agreements are not set.

The people needed

A research owner, a resource custodian, privacy/security reviewers and a sponsor or budget owner. Prospective participants bring a non-sensitive process description; no source records or patient identifiers are requested.

The intended deliverables

A versioned question and resource description; a custody and permission map; a reproducible synthetic task; a reviewed-output record; negative-case evidence; and a joint go, revise or stop decision.

How success would be assessed

Agree baselines and thresholds before a pilot. Measure time to an approved answer, reviewer effort, reproducibility and prohibited-request denials. No measured improvement or partner outcome is claimed.

The boundary and next decision

Identifiable originals, contact details and linkage keys stay with the originating custodian. Medical sharing is planned and anonymized-only. Real-data use requires separate institutional, privacy, security and operating acceptance. Sign-in and ecosystem membership grant no research access.

No active pilot or contracted design partner is announced. Share a non-sensitive outline: hybrid-discovery.com/design-partners. Do not send medical records, identifiers, dataset samples or credentials.